Over the Nickel Rainbow

Carol Marians shares her research on her search for nickel blue

OOKING THROUGH EMMANUEL COOPER'S *The Potter's Book of Glaze Recipes*, I became intrigued by the variety of colours in nickel-containing glazes. Blue being the rarest, I set out to find a great nickel blue. Rarely was a glaze journey as full of delightful surprises.

Inspired by Cooper's #94, I designed *Ana*#1 – substituting strontium for barium and soda feldspar for potash feldspar. In a digital electric kiln, I investigated composition and cool down in achieving nickel blues. *Ana*#1 applied to tiles, is a variegated, pointillist, temperamental robin's egg blue, [See image captions.] But how different is *Ana* #1 from 'all ' other glazes? If it is, what makes it so? Where are its neighbouring blue glazes? And what would 'neighbourhood' mean?

Ana #1 stands out because of its 0.1 MgO molecular equivalent. This is a measured, discernible amount of magnesium, not the trace found in all glazes. Nor is it the 0.2 plus magnesium of classical magnesium glazes. It falls in between. *Ana*#1 is a sensitive multi-phase assemblage, balancing between blue on one side, brown on the other. The volume fraction of matte to glossy varies, as does the colour. The strong blue concentrates in the matte phase, in firings with holds near 1500°F. The glossy phase is mauve/taupe bordering on tan.

Colour is most intense with thorough intermixing of the two phases, suggesting holds are most relevant just where liquid and solid meet. Examined through a jeweller's loupe, *Ana* #1 appears an almost fully glossy transparent second phase surrounding islands of sugary white. It is its small crystals that 'carry' the blue colour, so they are wanted in large numbers. (If instead, there were a small number of large crystals, a white glaze with a few small scattered deep blue dots would result.) Thickly applied, the glaze looks white, not blue.

The needed multitude of small crystals must be well dispersed throughout the glaze to achieve that elusive blue. Therefore a large number of particles must be obtained in the solidification of the glaze, or through slow growth of the second phase, near the end of solidification.

Magnification explains what is going on. At different points in heating, and again in cooling, specific ingredients melt and form rivulets, which may or may not converge with other rivulets. Glaze colours are most often achieved when particular rivulets are 'frozen' into the solidified glaze. When glazes 'break' at the edges it is because one 'rivulet' takes over from another. We see the sky as blue because of light rays, bouncing around and hitting molecules of air and bits of dust. Similarly, flint assumes many colours, because of light reflections trapped inside the rock. And the structures and conditions 'trapped' in the glaze give us the colours we see.

My first tests had a blue ground with variegated blue and violet dots. I went on to get many variations – tan, opaque white with blue specks, near gloss translucent taupe with no visible blue. I learned nickel blue requires a glaze composition allowing the formation of the blue coloured material. I had to find the conditions under which the blue particles could be seen.

A glaze's composition determines the starting point from which it assumes characteristics in cooling. A glaze is balanced between opposites: gloss/matte, opaque/transparent, fine on one side/coarse, with a lizard skin surface texture on the other. Fractional solidification during cooling pushes properties from one side to the other. As the glaze heats, solids interact and liquids form. Each reaction occurs at its 'own' temperature, so that at the maturing temperature, a melt with no remaining solid results. At top temperature, a fully moulten layer sits on the pot. Were the pot held at this temperature – for hours, even days – changes would continue and segregation and textures might develop. (Hare's fur, partridge feather iron glazes are examples, as are celadons.)

Then, as the glaze cools the first solid forms, changing greatly the composition of what remains liquid. What happens later is determined by what happens first. Empirical formulas tell us glazes are made up of bases, alumina, and silica. The bases divide into alkali: K₂O, Na₂O, Li₂O and alkaline earths: CaO, MgO, ZnO and SrO. A glaze's parameters cannot be changed arbitrarily.

Working with unity formulas requires the sum of the bases to be adjusted to 1 and means that when one base is increased, the others must be lowered. Meanwhile, the silica/alumina ratio influences balance of glossy and matt, as well as the maturation temperature. A 'finished' glaze results from a balancing of fluxes (bases) and silica/alumina.

I experimented with small variations in the proportions of the bases – CaO/MgO/SrO – changing as little in the empirical formula as possible while maintaining a cone 6 glaze. I focused on MgO and CaO. Lowering magnesium produced a lavender glaze *Ana#3* [Figure 6], which seems more stable than the blue in *Ana#1*. Raising MgO resulted in a brown glaze.

I checked out the role of strontium. Keeping the same alumina and silica content and proportion meant reducing SrO. This would raise CaO or MgO and might result in a more fluid glaze. I did not want another brown glaze but when I increased the calcium, that is what I had. Within the limits of my tests I never created a fully transparent nickel glaze that was anything but brown.

At this point I looked through all of my glaze notes and chose one from each glaze family. There I found *Jon* [Figure 7] which has neither SrO nor ZnO. Next I focused on the influence of CaO on the colour of Nickel in a glaze and compared colour to CaO content. Finally I achieved *RuthMeske#1*, [Figure 8], a saturated teal blue, breaking yellow on the edges. It was quite stiff, with high surface tension. I lowered Al_2O_3 , kept the same SiO_2/Al_2O_3 ratio to achieve *RuthMeske#2* [Figure 9], a smooth teal blue.

Along the road to *Nickel Blue* I got the following results. (The starred glazes are those whose results were positive and whose recipes are given here.)

0.030000 brown mauve tinge	0.390000 coffee brown
*0.150000 pale blue	0.400000 pale grey blue tinge
*0.180000 chartreuse	0.420000 greyed brown
0.200000 pale blue	0.420000 pale grey
0.200000 pinkish brown	0.420000 grey flecks blue
*0.210000 pale blue	0.480000 pale yellow
*0.270000 mauve	0.500000 pale grey
0.370000 brown	0.510000 light coffee

TABLE 1: Mol Fraction Calcia



Figure 6. Glaze: Ana#2, Firing #3.



Figure 7. Glaze: Jon, Firing #7.



Figure 8. Glaze: RuthMeske1, Firing #6.



Figure 9. Glaze: RuthMeske2, Firing #4.

As can be seen, nickel blue is unlikely in a high CaO glaze. Next I wanted to learn the effect of controlled cooling and 'holds' on the glaze colour. The slower the kiln is cooled, or held at a given temperature, the more change can occur in the glaze. But kiln cooling times are sufficiently rapid that re-absorption of newly formed solids cannot take place. The composition of an already solidified material is set. The rate of cooling can affect glaze only up to complete solidification. For cone 6, 1400°F seems a reasonable bottom temperature for slow cooling and 2000°F an equally reasonable top temperature.

A short hold just after the top temperature is reached helps to even out the temperature in the kiln. A long hold at this point will only raise the effective cone of the firing.

From cone 6 (for me 2160°F) to 1400°F the following intervals were useful in interpreting my many firings.

1. 2000-1800°F – The entire glaze is moulten, possible precipitation of crystals as in saturated iron glazes.

Figure 10. Glaze Silvia#1, Firing #3.



Figure 11. Glaze Silvia#2, Firing #3.



Figure 12. Glaze Silvia#3, Firing #7.



Figure 13. Glaze amHi, Firing #3.



Figure 14. Glaze am, Firing #3.

GLAZES ŀ



Figure 15. Glaze loCa, Firing #3.

2. 1800-1600°F – In multi-phase glazes, segregation has begun, one or more begun to solidify. phases may have

3. 1600-1400°F – The last phases present have begun to solidify.

My intervals were determined by dividing the total cooling into three phases. To illustrate the process: Ana#1 in five firings, time given in hours (beyond normal kiln cooling)

Region 1	Region 2	Region 3	time above 1400 deg F	
1.0	0	0	0	
2. 2.4	1.3	.67	4.4	
3.0	4	0	4	
4.0	2	5	7	
5.0	0	5	5	

Fast cooling #1, gives a semi-transparent, semi-gloss taupe.

Firing #2, retarded moderate cooling gives a tan waxy matte.

Firing #3, with the time concentrated in Region 2, gives a pale waxy matte blue, with a sprinkling of deep blue spots.

Firing #4, more time in Region 3 – an exceedingly slow down fire – gives a dry densely opaque matte, with only a sprinkling of dark blue.

Firing # 5 yields that deep blue colour in a semi transparent waxy glaze with a little gloss (Figures 1-5)

Here are my cooling and hold schedules. In these firings only the firing down had any influence. [-----] means fire down at a rate of 50°F per hour in the interval contained between the brackets. Each '*' indicates 15 minutes of hold. [For example, Firing #4 has a slow down firing from 1700°F to 1400°F, with one hour hold at 1500°F.]

	Тор	1750	1700	1650	1600	1550	1500	1450	1400	
#3		[]						
#4		[-				****]		
#5				[*	***]		
#6		[**		**	**]			
#7		[-		****]		[**	*]		

Having done all of that, I set out to find how the combination of nickel and

other materials would influence colour. I began with rutile which resulted in a gorgeous buttery yellow, Silvia#1 (figure 10), which started me on further quests to find the end of the Nickel Rainbow. So again using rutile resulted in *Silvia*#2 and Silvia#3 (Figures 11, 12) and amHi (figure 13). Adding rutile and iron resulted in *am* (Figure 14). Last, the glaze *loCa* gives a fabulous aqua if applied very thick (figure 15).

<u>Ana#1</u>			
Bentonite	4.0	Strontium Carbonate	18.7
Custer Feldspar	5.6	Talc	7.2
EPK Kaolin	31.7	Unispar	9.5
Lithium Carbonate	2.6	Whiting	9.7
Nepheline Syenite	33.6	Zinc Oxide	11.7
Silica	66.2	Nickel Oxide	2

Chemical Composition	: K ₂ O Na ₂ O CaO MgO Li ₂ O BaO ZnO SrO	0.0498 0.1195 0.2011 0.1002 0.0613 0.0000 0.2484 0.2196	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.3983 0.0000 0.0033	SiO ₂ P ₂ O ₅ ZrO ₂ TiO ₂ SnO ₂	3.4146 0.0009 0.0000 0.0027 0.0000	
<u>Ana#2</u> Bentonite EPK Kaolin Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite Silica Chemical Composition	4.0 29.9 2.6 13.2 64.6	K2O Na2O CaO MgO Li2O BaO ZnO SrO	Strontiu Unispan Whiting Zinc Ox Blac 0.0502 0.1205 0.2613 0.0060 0.0625 0.0000 0.2490 0.2505	5	l Oxide	20.9 40.4 13.2 11.5 2 SiO ₂ P ₂ O ₅ ZrO ₂ TiO ₂ SnO ₂	3.4251 0.0009 0.0000 0.0026 0.0000
<u>RuthMeske#1</u> Bentonite Custer Feldspar EPK Kaolin Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite Silica	4.0 6.4 36.4 2.4 36.4 71.8		Strontiu Talc Whiting Zinc Ox Black	r 5		19.4 6.5 2.1 14.9	4
Chemical Composition		K ₂ O Na ₂ O CaO MgO Li ₂ O BaO ZnO SrO	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0500\\ 0.1202\\ 0.0701\\ 0.0999\\ 0.0600\\ 0.0000\\ 0.3497\\ 0.2500\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.4504 0.0000 0.0041	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SiO}_2\\ \text{P}_2\text{O}_5\\ \text{ZrO}_2\\ \text{TiO}_2\\ \text{SnO}_2 \end{array}$	3.8494 0.0012 0.0000 0.0034 0.0000
<i>RuthMeske#2</i> Bentonite Custer Feldspar EPK Kaolin Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite	4.0 7.3 32.0 2.6 39.8		Strontiu Talc Whiting Zinc Ox Black	7		21.2 7.2 2.3 16.3 4	
Silica Chemical Composition	67.6 :	K ₂ O Na ₂ O CaO MgO Li ₂ O BaO ZnO SrO	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0500\\ 0.1199\\ 0.0699\\ 0.0998\\ 0.0600\\ 0.0000\\ 0.3496\\ 0.2508 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\end{array}$	0.3996 0.0000 0.0034	SiO ₂ P ₂ O ₅ ZrO ₂ TiO ₂ SnO ₂	3.4250 0.0009 0.0000 0.0027 0.0000



#1.	<u>am</u> Bentonite Custer Feldspar EPK Kaolin Frit 3134 Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite Chemical Composition :		0.0747	Rutile Silica Whiting Black Al ₂ O ₃	k Nickel (0.4203	SiO ₂	3.1 10.6 29.0 22.3 3 2.6017
	<u>amHi</u>	Na ₂ O CaO MgO Li ₂ O BaO ZnO SrO	0.1951 0.4841 0.0067 0.2395 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	B_2O_3 Fe_2O_3	0.1097 0.0331	$\begin{array}{c} P_2O_5\\ ZrO_2\\ TiO_2\\ SnO_2 \end{array}$	0.0008 0.0000 0.2124 0.0000
#2.	Bentonite EPK Kaolin Frit 3124 Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite	4.1 21.8 34.8 11.1 61.1		Rutile Silica Whiting Nick	g el Oxide		10.6 36.3 20.6 4
	Chemical Composition:		0.0536 0.2150 0.4844 0.0070 0.2399 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.4201 0.1100 0.0023	SiO_{2} $P_{2}O_{5}$ ZrO_{2} TiO_{2} SnO_{2}	2.8109 0.0006 0.0000 0.2142 0.0000
#3.	<u>Silvia#1</u> Bentonite Custer Feldspar Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite Rutile Silica	5.9 12.3 5.9 106.2 8.5 32.3		Talc Whiting Zinc_O Zircopa Nick	xide		6.5 7.2 5.7 9.8 2
	Chemical Composition:		0.1211 0.3263 0.1777 0.1004 0.1472 0.0000 0.1274 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.5100 0.0000 0.0015	SiO_{2} $P_{2}O_{5}$ ZrO_{2} TiO_{2} SnO_{2}	3.5618 0.0000 0.0982 0.1963 0.0000
	<u>Silvia#2</u> Bentonite Dolomite Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite Rutile	6.4 13.3 6.5 125.3 9.3		Silica Zinc_O Zircopa Nick			22.9 6.2 10.6 4
	Chemical Composition:		0.1041 0.3400 0.1489 0.1328 0.1470 0.0000 0.1273 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.5068 0.0000 0.0013	$SiO_{2} P_{2}O_{5} ZrO_{2} TiO_{2} TiO_{2} SnO_{2}$	3.0011 0.0000 0.0979 0.1959 0.0000



Figure 2. Glaze: Ana#1, Firing #2



Figure 3. Glaze: Ana#1, Firing #3

<u>loCa</u> Bentonite Custer Feldspar EPK Kaolin Lithium Carbonate Silica	4.0 144.0 10.4 4.8 6.0	144.0Whiting10.4Zinc_Oxide4.8Nickel Oxide				
Chemical Composition		$\begin{array}{c} 0.2442 \\ 0.1081 \\ 0.0979 \\ 0.0991 \\ 0.1003 \\ 0.0000 \\ 0.3503 \\ 0.0000 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\B_2O_3\\Fe_2O_3\\Fe_2O_3\end{array}$	0.4513 0.0000 0.0026	SiO ₂ P ₂ O ₅ ZrO ₂ TiO SnO ₂	3.0195 0.0003 0.0000 0.0009 0.0000
<u>Silvia#3</u> Bentonite Custer Feldspar Dolomite Lithium Carbonate Nepheline Syenite	4.99 97.4 21.8 9.8 53.9			k Iron O kel Oxide		10.6 1.47 6 4
Chemical Composition:		0.2000 0.2004 0.1996 0.2003 0.1997 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\B_2O_3\\Fe_2O_3\\Fe_2O_3\end{array}$	0.4504 0.0000 0.0016	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SiO}_2\\ \text{P}_2\text{O}_5\\ \text{ZrO}_2\\ \text{TiO}_2\\ \text{TiO}_2\\ \text{SnO}_2 \end{array}$	2.6042 0.0000 0.0000 0.1998 0.0000
<i>Jon</i> Bentonite Dolomite EPK Kaolin Frit 3134 Lithium Carbonate	4.0 8.4 12.5 3.1 4.8		Silica Whitin	line Syen g cel Oxide		86.6 67.7 13.3 4
Chemical Composition:		$\begin{array}{c} 0.0855\\ 0.2866\\ 0.3987\\ 0.0998\\ 0.124\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ 0.0000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Al_2O_3\\ B_2O_3\\ Fe_2O_3\\ \end{array}$	0.5020 0.0199 0.0023	$\begin{array}{c} \text{SiO}_2\\ \text{P}_2\text{O}_5\\ \text{ZrO}_2\\ \text{TiO}_2\\ \text{SnO}_2 \end{array}$	4.3097 0.0004 0.0000 0.0013 0.0000



Figure 4. Glaze: Ana#1, Firing #4.



Figure 5. Glaze: Ana#1, Firing #5.

Carol Marians has been a potter since 1952 when she discovered clay in a craft course. She proceeded to earn two PhDs from MIT, one in Math, the other in Materials Science. Self-trained in clay, she studied the literature and took workshops with F. Carlton Ball and Tom Coleman. She has published in Ceramics Monthly and on the web site: http://vickihardin.com/articles/index.html. She currently is devoting her time to the study of glaze. (http://carol.knighten.org/)

I would like to acknowledge Jon Singer, of the Joss Research Institute for many helpful conversations on technical issues.

Photos by Dr Robert Knighten (rlk@knighten.org)

Internet versions of the figures for this article are found at:

http://carol.knighten.org/glaze/